## **PWS-6 REPORTS**

Carolyn DeMartino Source Water Protection Specialist <u>cdemartino@mt.gov</u> (406) 444-0820





## > What is a PWS-6 Report?

## Source Water Protection Reviewer's Expectations?



## What Is A PWS-6 Report?

It is a report developed to ensure Source Water Protection Criteria are met for proposed wells or existing wells, to be used as drinking water wells

The basis for completion of a PWS-6 Report is found in DEQ Circular 3 "Standards for Small Water Systems". Adopted by reference in ARM 17.36.126, 2023





DEVELOPING A PWS-6 REPORT Consult DEQ Circular PWS 6 Source Water Delineation



### DEPARTMENT CIRCULAR PWS 6

SOURCE WATER PROTECTION DELINEATION

1999 EDITION



### Instructions for Completing a PWS-6 Report For Community or Non-Community Non-Transient Public Water Supplies

The Source Water Delineation and Assessment Reports (SWDAR) for community or non-transient non-community public water supplies should include the sections outlined below and must adequately describe the water supply. In aquifer or surface water source, and potential sources of regulated contaminants. In addition to the text pages, server al simple mays hould be included to show the well(s), on-site structures, water distribution system, sewage disposal, roads, source water protection regions (described below Table 1), general land uses, and potential sources of regulated contaminants (See Attached Example Report). If a well log is available, a copy should be included with the report (Note-well **Go must be submitted before final approval can be given**). Reports should a kopy should be included with using report (with even ing insist we hummus there runn approval can be gived). A special beam of the beam of the strain of t

### SWDAR Outline

C or NC-NT

**PWS** 

nded Procedure

listed in Table 7

LIMITATIONS

Use Table 8 to assign a hazard rating for each potential contaminant source you have listed in Table 7.

Use Table 9 to help you identify natural or man-made barriers for each source listed in Table 7. Only barriers in Table 9 should be used in the susceptibility assessment.

Use Table 10 to assign susceptibility ratings for each source

LIMITATIONS Identification of potential contaminant sources is limited to those regulated for this class of PWS and is generally based Unregulated activities or unreported contaminant releases will likely be missed and not considered in this report. The elleneation networks of utilizes simplifying assumptions that may not fully represent complex ground state flow system health.

REFERENCES: List other references used for this report Table 11 shows the suggested reference format.

In the text, describe any other source water protection efforts that will be used to address and minimize the susceptibility ratings listed in Table 7. Finally, discuss water treatment measures already being used by the PWS.

- INTRODUCTION AND PURPOSE: Include the public water supply (PWS) name, address, primary contact person, telephone number, and date of report. Identify who completed this report and include contact information.
- PWS INFORMATION: Describe the location and nature of PWS INFORMATION: Describe the location and nature of the watter snippl(: to town, subdivision, achool, eds.) If this is a bearing how many individual to the PWS will are the star-tactual or projected watter demand in a galons per day. (DEQ Circular 4 Tables 3.1.1 & 2, column 4). Describe the location of the well or startfore watter indice with respect to the on-site sewage treatment system components (septic system). Show the cased location of the spite) system, mixing zones, and parcel boundaries for this property and neighboring erties on the map.
- DELINEA TION: Use the following headings within this section of the report. Hydrobig: Conditions: Use Table 1 to determine which are of source ware protection regions are boundaries or one or more of the maps. Describe the aguiter or surface water source surficiently to justify your delineation and to assign a sensitivity mill (see Table 2.) Well Information: Use Table 3 to its performation fractional to the transfer of the source and the source state and the source the source transfer and the source the source transfer and the source state fraction to the source state and the source state and the source state and the source water caulty available. ater quality ava
- INVENTORY: Discuss and show ownership and land uses within the control and inventory regions. Table 5 lusb land use codes that could be lossed on the many. You can use either sources of contamination within the inventory region. Use Table 6 to identify the types of significant potential contaminant sources you should identify. Fill out a cepy of Table 7 to list ech potential contaminant sources.
- SUSCEPTIBILITY: Describe the risk the con sources identified in your inventory use to the new well. You can use the following recommended procedure for the susceptibility analysis or you can request DEQ's Source Water Protection Staff complete the susceptibility analysis.

### Use **Templates** For Guidance

### Instructions for Completing a **PWS-6 Report for Non-Public Water Supplies**

PWS-6 Reports for Non-public water supplies (NPWS) do not need to exceed one or two pages of text. The report should include the sections outlined below and must adequately describe the water supply, the aquifer or surface water source, and potential sources of regulated contaminants. Regulated contaminants for NPWSs include only microbiological contaminants and nitrate. In addition to the text pages, simple maps should be included to show the well(s), buildings, water distribution system, sewage disposal, roads, the source water protection region (described below), general land uses, and potential sources of regulated contaminants (See Attached Example Report). If non-regulated contaminant sources like Leaking Underground Storage Tanks (LUST) are identified near the proposed well, they should be included in the inventory and shown on maps. If a well log is available, a copy should be included with the report (Note-The well log(s) must be submitted before final approval of the water system can be given). Prior to receiving approval to operate the NPWS water system; water quality monitoring data must be submitted showing the water is potable For more guidance on completing a Non-public PWS-6 Report, please contact the Source Water Protection Program at (406) 444-5546. A resource to help you create a map of potential contaminants is DEQ's online mapping application at <u>https://discover-</u> mtdeg.hub.aregis.com/. The application has online instructions and help functions. The DEO Circular 4 referenced below is available https://deq.mt.gov/files/Water/PWSUB/Documents/engineers/2014/DEQ4-2013-Final.pdf

Note: PWS-6 Reports can be considered as Source Water Delineation and Assessment Reports (SWDARs) for NPWSs.

### Non-public PWS-6 Report Outline

- INTRODUCTION AND PURPOSE: Include the nonpublic water supply (NPWS) name, address, primary contact person, telephone number, and date of report. Identify who mpleted the report and include contact informatic
- WATER SYSTEM INFORMATION: Describe the location and nature of the water supply (i.e. daycare, elder care facility, bed and breakfast, small food manufacturer, etc). If this is a new source at an existing NPWS, describe why it is needed. Identify how many individuals the NPWS will serve and the actual or projected water demand in gallon per day, assuming 10 gallons per day per patron (DEQ Circular 4 pages 27-28, Tables 3.1.1 & 2, column 4).
- DELINEATION: For a non-public water supply a 100-foot radius control zone and a 500-foot radius inventory region should be delineated as the source water protection areas for a NPWS well. A modified 500-foot fixed radius inventory region can be delineated in areas where groundwater flow direction is known. Show the boundaries of the control zone and inventory region on either a topographic map or an aerial map. The PWS-6 reviewer will describe the aquifer based on well log information included with this report. If no well log is available; well logs from other area wells will be used.
- INVENTORY: Indicate on either a topographic map or an aerial map the general land uses within the control zone and inventory region that may be potential sources of nitrate or microbial contaminants. List these using the table shown in Susceptibility Section in the attached example. Describe the location of the well with respect to sewer mains or the on-site sewage treatment system (septic system). Show the exact location of the septic system for this property and if possible, for neighboring areas on a site layout map. On an aerial map indicate cultivated cropland, irrigated cropland, irrigated pasture, and animal feeding operations within the control zone and inventory region. Source Water Protection Staff

### **Non-Public Water Supplies**

### **Instructions For Completing a PWS-6 Report For Transient Public Water Supplies**

PWS-6 Reports for Transient public water supplies (TPWS) do not need to exceed one or two pages of text. The report should include I was before for transfer public water supprises (1 w s) on the free to exceed one of two pages on ext. The eport should include inter-the sections outlined below and must adequately describe the water supply, the aquifer or surface water source, and potential sources of regulated contaminants. Regulated contaminants for TPWSs include only microbiological contaminants and nitrate. In addition to or regionate estimates regardle region (e.g. and estimates) and the estimates of the estimates of the estimates and the proposed well, they can be included in the inventory and shown on maps. If a well log is available, a copy should be included with the report (Note-The well log(s) must be submitted before final approval of the water system can be given). Prior to receiving approval to operate the TWS stater systems water againly maniforing data must be submitted showing the water is possible. For more guidance completing a Transmer PW-56 Report, basec contact the Source Water Protection (Source 2004) 445-554. A resource to help you create maps of potential contaminants is DRQ's online mapping applications at <u>hetp://line.org</u>. midle\_hab.erg/sci.us/?. The application has online instructions and help functions. The DRQ for leaf at Persenced Hole is a wailable

at http://deq.mt.gov/Portals/112/Water/PWSUB/Documents/engineers/2014/DEQ4-2013-Final.pdf. A spreadsheet to assist with timeof-travel calculations is available in Appendix U (http://deq.mt.gov/Water/WQINFO/nondeg/howtonondeg)

### Note: PWS-6 Reports can be considered as Source Water Delineation and Assessment Reports (SWDARs) for TPWSs.

### Transient PWS-6 Outline

- 1. INTRODUCTION AND PURPOSE: Include the public water supply (PWS) name, address, primary contact person. telephone number, and date of report. Identify who completed this report and include contact information.
- 2 PWS INFORMATION: Describe the location and nature of the water supply (i.e. restaurant, bar, campground, etc). If this is a new source at an existing PWS, describe why it is needed. Identify how many individuals the PWS will serve and the actual or projected water demand in gallons per day (DEQ Circular 4 Tables 3.1.1 & 2, column 4). Describe and show the exact location of the proposed well, septic system, mixing zones, and parcel boundaries for this property and neighboring properties on the map.
- DELINEATION: Use Table 1 to determine which set of source water protection regions are required for the water supply. Show the boundary of the inventory region on the map. Describe the aquifer or surface water source sufficiently to assign a sensitivity rank (see Table 2). For wells, list depth, perforated interval, static water level. pumping water level, yield, and lithology of nearby wells (attach logs if available). Describe source water quality if data are available.
- INVENTORY: Indicate on either a topographic map or an aerial map the general land uses, within the control zone and inventory region, that may be potential sources of nitrate or microbial contaminants. Describe the location of the well with respect to sewer mains or the on-site sewage treatmen system (septic system). Show the exact location of the septic system for this property and if possible for neighboring areas on a site layout map. On an aerial map indicate cultivated cropland, irrigated cropland, irrigated pasture, and animal feeding operations within the control zone and inventory region. Table 3 lists land use codes that can be used on the

Page 1 of 9

### Use Table 6 to assign a hazard rating for each potential contaminant source you've listed in Table 5 Use Table 7 - Suggested Barriers List to help you identify natural or man-made barriers for each source listed in your version of Table 5 Use Table 8 to assign susceptibility ratings for each source listed in your version of Table 5.

In the text, describe any other source water protection efforts that will be used to address and minimize the susceptibility ratings listed in Table 5. Finally, discuss water treatment measures already being used by the PWS

aerial photo. Source Water Protection Staff will create a

map showing septic system density within the inventory region. Use Table 4 to help identify significant potential sources of microbes and nitrate. Use Table 5 to list each

In the text, describe the threat the contaminant sources

identified in your inventory pose to the new well. The following procedure is an example of a simple

eptibility Analysis will be completed by DEQ's Source

susceptibility analysis that can be used. The final

Water Protection Staff using the procedure below

### LIMITATIONS

SUSCEPTIBILITY:

rces is limited to Identification of pote those regulated for this class of PWS and is generally based on readily available information and reports. Unregulated activities or unreported contaminant releases will likely be missed and not considered in this report. The delineation

### **T-PWS**



### efforts that will be used to address and minimize the susceptibility ratings listed in Susceptibility Table (See attached example). Finally, discuss water treatment measures already being used by the PWS. LIMITATIONS Identification of potential contaminant sources is limited to nitrates and microbial contaminants and is generally based on readily available information and reports. activities and contaminant releases will likely be missed and not considered in this report. The delineation method

inventory region

utilizes simplifying assumptions that may not fully represent complex ground water flow systems but is intended to be conservative and protective of public health.

will create a map showing septic system density within the

SUSCEPTIBILITY: The final Susceptibility Analysis is completed by DEQ's Source Water Protection Staff.

In the text, describe any other source water protection

**REFERENCES:** Include a list of references used to prepare the report. Use the suggested format shown below.

and Hydrologic Summaries of Intermontane Basins of the Northern Rocky Mountains, Montana: U.S. Geological Survey Water Resources Investigations Report 96-4025, 233 p



Unreported



# ACCESSING SWDARs & PWS-6 TEMPLATES

<u>deq.mt.gov/water/Programs/dw-</u> <u>sourcewater</u>



## PWS-6 SOURCE WATER PROTECTION REVIEWER'S EXPECTATIONS





## **LEGIBLE MAPS**

- Vicinity Map
- General Site Layout Map
- Distribution System Map
- Control Zone Map & Inventory Region Map [preferably aerial maps with well(s) and PCSs clearly identified]



## **PWS INFORMATION**

- State what type of PWS the well(s) are to serve
- Use appropriate PWS-6 Report template as guide
- Provide required information for that class of PWS (or non-public) well



## **Discover DEQ's Data**

### Discover DEQ's Data

Search, Discover, Understand

This site will help you discover data published by the Montana Department of Environmental Quality

Interactive Map Layers & Data Packages

ackages Search DEQ Data

There are many ways to help you discover DEQ's data. On this site you will find options to help you:

- Interact with DEQ's features on a map of Montana.
- Browse a list of layers and data packages.
- Search individual tables of data with advanced tools.

### discover-mtdeq.hub.arcgis.com



### DEQ Mapping DEQ's Data





### **Driller's Well Log**

Site Name: \_\_\_\_\_ GWIC ld: 181290

Section 7: Well Test Data

| Section 1: W  | ell Owne | r       |           |          |
|---------------|----------|---------|-----------|----------|
| Owner Name    | CHANNEL  |         |           |          |
| Mailing Addre | CIT WEL  |         |           |          |
| 300 MAIN ST   | 22       |         |           |          |
| City          |          | Stat    | e Zip C   | ode      |
| DEER LODGE    |          | MI      | 59/22     |          |
| Section 2: Lo | cation   |         |           |          |
| Township      | Range    | Section | Quarter   | Sections |
| 08N           | 0900     | - 33    | NW2       | SW%      |
| Col           | unty     |         | Geoco     | de       |
| POWELL        |          |         |           |          |
| Latitude      | Longi    | tude    | Geomethod | Datum    |
| 46.402291     | 112.74   | 2233    | IRS-SEC   | NAD83    |
| Altitude      | M        | ethod   | Datum     | Date     |
|               |          |         |           |          |
| Addition      |          | Blog    | :k        | Lot      |

Total Depth: 236 Static Water Level: 8.4 Water Temperature:

Section 8: Remarks

Section 9: Well Loa

Pump Test\*

Depth pump set for test feet. 700, gpm pump rate with \_ feet of drawdown after 22 hours of pumping. Time of recovery 4 hours. Recovery water level 666 feet Pumping water level 140.4 feet.

<sup>383</sup> • During the well test the discharge rate shall be as ate uniform as possible. This rate may or may not be the sustainable yield of the well. Sustainable yield does not include the reservoir of the well casing.

Geologic Source

Section 3: Proposed Use of Water PUBLIC WATER SUPPLY (1)

Section 4: Type of Work

|   | From To Description                                      |
|---|--|
| Section 5: Well Completion Date                 | 0 3TOPSOIL   |
| Date well completed: Sunday, December 05, 1999  | 3 8 SAND AND GRAVEL W/ WATER                             |
|   | 8 24 MEDIUM SIZE BOULDERS                                |
| Section 6: Well Construction Details            | 24 26 TAN CLAY   |
| Borehole dimensions                             | 26 33 BROWN AND GRAY MEDIUM SIZE BOULDERS                |
| From To Diameter                                | 33 36 BROWN SANDY CLAY AND WATER                         |
| 0 85 22   | 36 59BROWN GRAVEL WITH CLAY                              |
| 85[236] 16                                      | 59 71 BROWN SANDY CLAY/ HEAVING WATER                    |
| Casing  | 71 74 BROWN HEAVING SAND/LOTS OF WATER                   |
| Wall Pressure                                   | 74 78 BROWN AND GRAY SAND AND GRAVEL                     |
| Promilio plameter il nickness Rating Joint Type | 78 85 TAN SANDY CLAY                                     |
| Completion (Perf/Screen)                        | 85 100BROWN SAND/ HEAVING                                |
| Compresion (cettracteen)                        | 100 106 TAN SANDY CLAY                                   |
| From To Diameter Openings Openings Description  | 106 120 BROWN SAND AND GRAVEL/WATER                      |
| 100 STAINLESS                                   | 120 156ITAN SANDY CLAY                                   |
| 148 22316 STEEL SCREEN                          | Driller Certification                                    |
| 150 STAINLESS                                   | All work performed and reported in this well log is in   |
| 223 23010 STEEL SCREEN                          | compliance with the Montana well construction standards. |
| Annular Space (Seal/Grout/Packer)               | This report is true to the best of my knowledge.         |
| Cont  | Name:  |
| From To Description Fed?                        | Company:AK DRILLINGING                                   |
| 0  85 CEMENT                                    | License No:WWC-b04                                       |
|   | Date 12/5/1999   |
|   | Completed:   |
| Site Name: DEER LODGE CITY WELL                 |  |
| GWIC Id: 181290                                 |  |
| Additional Lithology Records                    |  |
| From To Description                             |  |
| 156 173 COARSE SAND AND PEAGRAVEL/ WA           | TER  |
| 173 191 TAN SANDY CLAY                          |  |
| 191 203 COARSE SAND AND GRAVEL/WATER            |  |
| 203 236 SANDY GRAVEL AND CLAY/WATER             |  |

### http://mbmggwic.mtech.edu/



Aquifer (Source Water) Sensitivity The relative ease with which a contaminant applied on, or near ground surface can migrate to an aquifer





## **Aquifer Sensitivity Table**

### Table X. Source Water Sensitivity

 High Source Water Sensitivity

 Surface water and (GWUDISW)

 Unconsolidated Alluvium (unconfined)

 Fluvial-Glacial Gravel

 Terrace and Pediment Gravel

 Shallow Fractured or Carbonate Bedrock

 Moderate Source Water Sensitivity

 Semi-consolidated Alluvium (semi-confined)

 Low Source Water Sensitivity

 Consolidated Sandstone Bedrock

 Semi-consolidated Valley Fill Sediments

 Unconsolidated Alluvium (semi-confined)



### Delineation

Fixed radius - Control Zone 100-foot diameter around wellhead

Fixed radius – Inventory Region 500-foot (non-public well),1,000foot (confined), 1-mile (unconfined)



## **Control Zone**





## **Inventory Region**





Figure 3C. Spill Response Region and Potential Contaminant Sources



## Spill Response Region

### "Control Zone" for a Surface Water Intake



### Susceptibility



The potential for a water supply to draw water contaminated by inventoried sources at concentrations that would pose concern



## SUSCEPTIBILITY ASSESSMENT

## Table 8. Susceptibility Assessment for Significant PotentialContaminant Sources in the XYZ Community PWS Inventory Region.

| Source  | Contaminants                               | Description (Location   | Hazard<br>Rating | Barriers  | Susceptibility |
|---|--|---|------------------|---|----------------|
| Dryland<br>Agricultural Crop<br>Lands and grazing | SOC, Nitrate                               | 52% <u>ag-land</u> in the<br>inventory zone                           | High             | Depth >50 ft. below<br>water level<br>Some Ag-land is down-<br>gradient of well | Moderate       |
| Sanitary Sewer<br>Main near wells                 | Pathogens &<br>Nitrates                    | About 20% sewered in<br>Inventory Region                              | Moderate         | Depth >50 ft. below<br>water level  | Moderate       |
| Leaking<br>Underground<br>storage site<br>(LUST)* | Gasoline                                   | Just outside inventory<br>zone  | Moderate         | Depth >50 ft. below<br>water level  | Moderate       |
| Segment of<br>Highway 287                         | Hazardous<br>Materials<br>(VOCs &<br>SOCs) | Highway is east and<br>outside of the<br>Inventory Region             | Low              | Depth >50 ft. below<br>water level  | Low            |
| Underground<br>storage site (UST)                 | Gasoline                                   | Approx. 500 feet south<br>of well                                     | High             | Remediated as of<br>04/21/2006<br>Intake Depth >50 ft.<br>below water level     | Moderate       |
| Montana Rail<br>Link Railroad                     | Various<br>organic<br>chemicals            | Segment is located<br>west of well                                    | High             | Emergency response<br>Down-gradient<br>Location                                 | Low            |
| Wastewater<br>Treatment<br>Lagoons                | Pathogens &<br>Nitrates                    | Located north of the<br>well site and outside<br>the Inventory Region | Low              | Depth >50 ft. below<br>water level<br>Lagoons are cross-<br>gradient to well    | Low            |

### Susceptibility of a PWS To PCSs Procedure

- Determine Hazard
  - PCS Proximity or Density + PCS Type = Hazard
- > Barriers
  - ✤ Natural
  - Engineered
  - Management Actions



## Hazard – Surface Water

### Table B. Hazard of Potential Contaminant Sources

| Potential Contaminant                              | High  | Moderate  | Low   |
|--|---|---|---|
| Sources  | Hazard Rating   | Hazard Rating   | Hazard Rating   |
| Point Sources of Nitrates<br>or Pathogens          | Potential for direct<br>discharge to surface<br>water   | Potential for discharge to<br>groundwater hydraulically<br>connected to surface water | Potential contaminant sources in the<br>watershed region                              |
| Point Sources of VOCs,<br>SOCs, or Metals          | Potential for direct<br>discharge of large<br>quantities from roads,<br>rails, pipelines, or<br>mines | Potential for direct discharge<br>of small quantities to surface<br>water             | Potential for discharge to<br>groundwater hydraulically<br>connected to surface water |
| Septic Systems (density)                           | More than   | 50 – 300  | Less than   |
|  | 300 per sq. mi.   | per sq. mi.   | 50 per sq. mi.  |
| Municipal Sanitary Sewer                           | More than 50 percent  | 20 to 50 percent  | Less than 20 percent of region  |
| (percent land use)                                 | of region   | of region   |   |
| Cropped Agricultural<br>Land<br>(percent land use) | More than 50 percent<br>of region   | 20 to 50 percent<br>of region   | Less than 20 percent of region  |

### For surface water sources



## Hazard – Ground Water Source Unconfined

### Table D. Hazard of Potential Contaminant Sources

### For wells drawing water from unconfined aquifers

| Potential Contaminate<br>Sources within the<br>Inventory Region | High Hazard Rating             | Moderate Hazard<br>Rating     | Low Hazard Rating              |
|---|--------------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| Point Sources of All<br>Contaminants                            | Within 1-year TOT              | 1 to 3-years TOT              | Over 3-years TOT               |
| Septic Systems  | More than<br>300 per sq. mi.   | 50 – 300<br>per sq. mi.       | Less than<br>50 per sq. mi.    |
| Municipal Sanitary<br>Sewer<br>(percent land use)               | More than 50 percent of region | 20 to 50 percent<br>of region | Less than 20 percent of region |
| Cropped Agricultural<br>Land<br>(percent land use)              | More than 50 percent of region | 20 to 50 percent<br>of region | Less than 20 percent of region |



## Hazard – Ground Water Source Confined

### Table C. Hazard of Potential Contaminant Sources

### For wells drawing water from confined aquifers

| Potential Contaminate<br>Sources within the Inventory<br>Region        | The PWS well is not sealed<br>through the confining layer | Other wells in the<br>Inventory Region are not<br>sealed through the<br>confining layer | All wells in the Inventory<br>Region are sealed through<br>the confining layer |
|--|---|---|--|
| Point Sources  | High Hazard   | Moderate Hazard   | Low Hazard   |
| Septic System Density<br>(# per square mile)                           | High: > 300<br>Moderate: 50 to 300<br>Low: < 50           | Moderate: > 300<br>Low: < 300   | Low Hazard   |
| Municipal or Community<br>Sanitary Sewer Mains<br>(% land <u>are</u> ) | High: > 50<br>Moderate: 20 to 50<br>Low: < 20             | Moderate: > 50<br>Low: < 50   | Low Hazard   |
| Cropland<br>(% land use)   | High: > 50<br>Moderate: 20 to 50<br>Low: < 20             | Moderate: > 50<br>Low: < 50   | Low Hazard   |



Table 6. Relative susceptibility to specificcontaminant sources as determined by hazard andthe presence of barriers.

| Presence of |                | Hazard         |                |
|-------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| Barriers    | High           | Moderate       | Low            |
| No Barriere | Very High      | High           | Moderate       |
| NO Barriers | Susceptibility | Susceptibility | Susceptibility |
| One Barrier | High           | Moderate       | Low            |
|             | Susceptibility | Susceptibility | Susceptibility |
| Multiple    | Moderate       | Low            | Very Low       |
| Barriers    | Susceptibility | Susceptibility | Susceptibility |



## **REFERENCES!**

References Example:

Kendy, E., and R.E. Tresch, 1996, Geographic, Geologic, and Hydrologic Summaries of Intermontane Basins of the Northern Rocky Mountains, Montana: U.S. Geological Survey Water Resources Investigations Report 96-4025, 233 p.



### Montana Department of Environmental Quality SOURCE WATER PROTECTION PROGRAM

(Revised 04/26/2022)

### **PWS-6 Review Checklist**

The following items represent the minimum requirements for review and approval of PWS-6 reports submitted to DEQ by developers, PWS operators, landowners, or others. This checklist should be used in conjunction with the information and general format provided in the template for preparing PWS-6 documents. While the format of the template may be modified as needed, all requested information should be included for review and approval by the SWPP.

For any items that are not applicable, or information is not available, note in checklist column and provide an explanation. Attach additional sheets for explanation, if necessary.

### Guide to understanding the review:

X: adequate information was provided O: information is missing NA: the item in the check list is not applicable to this systems review OK: more information could have been provided, but is not required

If there is a number next to the X or 0, it refers to a comment with the corresponding number listed at the end of the report.

| PWS Name:           |             | Town:        | County:        |
|---------------------|-------------|--------------|----------------|
| PWSID#:             |             | EQ#:         |                |
| Date To SWP:        |             | Expedited: Y | N              |
| DEQ Review Engineer | Name:       |              |                |
| SWP Contact:        | Operator Na | me:          |                |
|                     | Phone:      |              |                |
| PWS – 6 Preparer:   | Name:       |              |                |
|                     | Address:    |              |                |
|                     | Phone:      |              |                |
| Reviewer Signature: |             |              | Review Date 1: |
|                     |             |              | Review Date 2: |
| REVIEW SUMMARY:     |             |              |                |
|                     |             |              |                |
|                     |             |              |                |
|                     |             |              |                |
|                     |             |              |                |



### **REVIEW CHECKLIST**

| Chapter 1 – Background  |          |
|---|----------|
| This section provides background information on the community served by the PWS | Present? |
| 1. General description of the source water                                      |          |
| Clearly state what the source is: groundwater, spring, surface water            |          |
| 2. Description of PWS, including:   |          |
| Source(s) of water  |          |
| Legal Location  |          |
| Aquifer lithology and well construction logs                                    |          |
| Distribution system layout or map   |          |
| Number of connections and users   |          |
| PWS treatment system  |          |
| Map showing general layout of the PWS   |          |
| 3. Water quality:   |          |
| Describe background/regional water quality                                      |          |
| Table summarizing background water quality                                      |          |

Comments:

### Chapter 2 – Delineation

| This section provides information on the hydrogeology of the PWS water supply | Present? |
|---|----------|
| Hydrogeology  |          |
| Hydrogeologic conditions  |          |
| Summary of wells in area from GWIC database                                   |          |
| Geologic map(s) included (or valid justification for omission)                |          |
| Aquifer identification  |          |
| Geologic setting of aquifer   |          |
| Aquifer lithology   |          |
| Aquifer type (unconfined, confined, semi-confined)                            |          |
| Connection with surface water   |          |
| Classify sensitivity of hydrogeologic setting of source water                 |          |
| Conceptual model and assumptions  |          |
| Aquifer boundaries  |          |
| Aquifer recharge areas  |          |
| Groundwater flow directions   |          |
| Connection with surface waters  |          |
| Source information  |          |
| Well depth, construction details  |          |
| Well locations described and shown on maps                                    |          |
| Delineation methods and criteria  |          |
| Overview of approach used for delineation                                     |          |
| Identify management zones or regions  |          |



Comments:

| Chapter 3 – Inventory  |          |
|--|----------|
| This section identifies all known potential contaminant sources that may affect the PWS. | Present? |
| 1. Inventory methods identified, or how was the inventory performed                      |          |
| 2. Appropriate databases searched, with potential sources identified                     |          |
| Control Zone   |          |
| Does the PWS own and control the control zone?   |          |
| Description of land uses   |          |
| Description of potential contaminant sources   |          |
| Potential contaminant sources located on a base map                                      |          |
| Inventory Region   |          |
| Description of land uses   |          |
| Description of potential contaminant sources   |          |
| Potential contaminant sources located on a base map                                      |          |
| Surface Water Buffer   |          |
| Description of land uses   |          |
| Description of potential contaminant sources   |          |
| Recharge Region  |          |
| Description of land uses   |          |
| Description of large potential contaminant sources                                       |          |
| Large potential sources and land uses shown on a map                                     |          |

Comments:

Chapter 4 – Susceptibility: Description of the threat the contaminant sources identified in your inventory pose to the new well. A procedure is presented in the PWS-6 template. The susceptibility assessment is provided in Table 1 on the next page.

REFERENCES - List or bibliography of references for hydrogeologic information

### APPENDICES

All necessary supporting information is included in appendices



Chapter 4 – Susceptibility

Table 1. Susceptibility Assessment of Significant Potential Contaminant Sources

| Potential Contaminant | Potential    | Hazard | Hazard Rating | Barriers | Susceptibility | Management     |
|-----------------------|--------------|--------|---------------|----------|----------------|----------------|
| Source                | Contaminants |        |               |          |                | Recommendation |
|                       |              |        |               |          |                |                |
|                       |              |        |               |          |                |                |
|                       |              |        |               |          |                |                |
|                       |              |        |               |          |                |                |
|                       |              |        |               |          |                |                |
|                       |              |        |               |          |                |                |

4





